

## REMARKS

Claims 66-69, 71-79, 105-106, 108-110 and 112 were examined. Applicant has amended claims 66, 68, 69, 71, 73, 76, 79, 108, 109, 110 and 112. claims 1-65 were previously cancelled; this amendment cancels claims 70, 81-104, 107, 111 and 112. No new claims are presented. No new matter is presented.

### Rejections under 35 USC §103

Claims 66-67, 69, 73-78, and 109-110 stand rejected under §103(a) as obvious over Shin et al. (APL 6/00) in view of Ouchi et al. (US 5,654,814)

Claims 68, 71-72, 108 and 112 stand rejected under §103(a) as obvious over Shin et al. with Ouchi et al. and further in view of Huang (US 6,222,951).

Claim 79 stands rejected under §103(a) as obvious over Shin et al. with Ouchi et al. and Huang and further in view of Thornton (US 6,208,681).

The claims have been amended to overcome the grounds of rejection.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants thank the examiner for the indication that claims 105 and 106 are allowable over the prior art.

## CONCLUSION

It is submitted that the present application is in form for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested. The Commissioner is authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, including petition fees and extension of time fees, to Deposit Account No. 08-1641 (Docket No. 39230-0704).

Respectfully submitted,  
HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & McAULIFFE

Date:

10/19/04

By:



Paul Davis, Reg. No. 29,294

275 Middlefield Road  
Menlo Park, CA 94025  
Telephone: (650) 324-7041  
Customer No. 25213